

1  
2  
3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
4 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

5 SCIENTIFIC GAMES CORPORATION, et al., ) Case No. 2:17-cv-00343-JAD-NJK  
6 Petitioners, ) ORDER  
7 vs. )  
8 AGS LLC, )  
9 Respondent. )  
10

11 On February 1 and 15, 2017, Petitioners and Respondent filed motions to seal that the Court  
12 denied without prejudice on February 16, 2017, for failure to include points and authorities. Docket  
13 Nos. 3, 5, 13. On February 22, 2017, Petitioners filed a renewed motion to seal. Docket No. 17.  
14 No response to that motion was filed. *See* Docket. Similarly, on February 24, 2017, Respondent  
15 filed a renewed motion to seal. Docket No. 19. Petitioners did not oppose that motion. *See* Docket  
16 No. 34 at 3.

17 On March 21, 2017, the Court found that Petitioners' renewed motion to seal failed to satisfy  
18 the relevant standard. Docket No. 33. The Court therefore instructed the Clerk's Office to keep the  
19 documents at issue in that motion sealed for the time being and ordered Petitioners to submit a  
20 supplemental brief. *Id.* at 4. On March 27, 2017, Petitioners responded to the Court's order,  
21 submitting that they need not pursue their motion to seal because Respondent's separately filed  
22 renewed motion to seal adequately protected Petitioners' and Respondent's interests. Docket No.  
23 34. On April 3, 2017, therefore, the Court denied Petitioners' renewed motion to seal as moot.  
24 Docket No. 35.

25 On April 3, 2017, the Court issued an order regarding Respondent's renewed motion to seal,  
26 finding that it had made a particularized showing of good cause to seal certain documents, but not  
27 others. *See* Docket No. 36. The Court therefore ordered Respondent to submit supplemental  
28 briefing as to Docket Nos. 1-1 at 103-118 and Docket Nos. 7, 7-1, 7-2, 7-3, 7-4, 10, 10-1, 10-2, 10-3,

1 10-4, 11, and 14, no later than April 11, 2017. Docket No. 36 at 4-5. The Court advised Respondent  
2 that if it did not submit supplemental briefing by that date, the Court would order those documents  
3 unsealed. *Id.* at 5. No supplemental briefing was filed. *See* Docket. On April 20, 2017, therefore,  
4 the Court ordered Respondent to show cause, no later than April 25, 2017, why the documents at  
5 Docket Nos. 1-1 at 103-118 and 7, 7-1, 7-2, 7-3, 7-4, 10, 10-1, 10-2, 10-3, 10-4, 11, 14, and 16  
6 should not be unsealed.

7 On April 25, 2017, Respondent filed a response to the Court's order to show cause. Docket  
8 No. 38. Respondent submits that the document at Docket No. 1-1 at 103-118 is a settlement  
9 agreement to which it is not party. *Id.* at 4-6. Therefore, Respondent submits, it does not have  
10 standing to request that the document be sealed and can articulate no particularized showing of harm  
11 that would occur if the Court unsealed the document. *Id.* Respondent further submits that it does  
12 not object to unsealing Docket Nos. 7, 7-1, 7-2, 7-3, 7-4, 10, 10-1, 10-2, 10-3, 10-4, 11, and 14. *Id.*  
13 at 5-6. Respondent does not address Docket No. 16. *See id.*

14 Accordingly, Respondent's motion to seal, Docket No. 19, is hereby **GRANTED** in part and  
15 **DENIED** in part for the reasons set forth in the order at Docket No. 36 and in this order. The Court  
16 **INSTRUCTS** the Clerk's Office to unseal Docket Nos. 1-1, 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, 7, 7-1, 7-2, 7-3, 7-4, 8,<sup>1</sup>  
17 10, 10-1, 10-2, 10-3, 10-4, 11, 14, and 16. The Court **ORDERS** Petitioners to file their motion to  
18 compel, Docket No. 1, on the public docket, with the redactions that the Court has previously  
19 approved.

20 IT IS SO ORDERED.

21 DATED: April 27, 2017.

22   
23 NANCY J. KOPPE  
24 United States Magistrate Judge  
25

26 <sup>1</sup> Docket No. 8 is identical to Docket No. 11, which Respondent does not oppose unsealing.  
27 *See* Docket No. 8; Docket No. 11; Docket No. 38 at 5-6.